RM results, the audit standards, your PM CEMS responses, and the calculation results as defined in section 12. If the accuracy audit results show your PM CEMS to be out of control, you must report both the audit results showing your PM CEMS to be out of control and the results of the audit following corrective action showing your PM CEMS to be operating within specifications.

(5) Summary of all corrective actions you took when you determined your PM CEMS to be out of control, as described in sections 10.5 and 10.6.

10.11 Where and how long must I retain the QA data that this procedure requires me to record for my PM CEMS? You must keep the records required by this procedure for your PM CEMS onsite and available for inspection by us, the State and or local enforcement agency for a period of 5 years.

11.0 What Analytical Procedures apply to

Sample collection and analysis are concurrent for this procedure. You must refer to the appropriate RM for the specific analytical procedures.

12.0 What Calculations and Data Analysis Must I Perform for My PM CEMS?

(1) How do I determine RCA and RRA acceptability? You must plot each of your PM CEMS/RM data from the RCA test or the RRA test on a figure based on your PM CEMS correlation line to determine if the criterion in paragraphs 10.4(5) or (6), respectively, is met.

(2) How do I calculate ACA Accuracy? You must use Equation 2–1 to calculate results from the ACA tests for each of the three audit points.

ACA Accuracy =
$$\frac{|R_{CEM} - R_V|}{R_V} \times 100$$
 [Eq. 2-1]

Where:

ACA Accuracy = The ACA accuracy at each audit point, in percent,

R_{CEM} = Your PM CEMS response to the reference standard, and

 R_V = The reference standard value.

(3) How do I calculate daily upscale and zero drift? You must calculate the upscale drift (UD) according to Equation 2–2 and the zero drift (ZD) according to Equation 2–3.

$$UD = \frac{|R_{CEM} - R_{V}|}{R_{V}} \times 100$$
 [Eq. 2-2]

Where:

UD = The upscale drift of your PM CEMS, in percent.

R_{CEM} = Your PM CEMS response to the upscale check value, and R_V = The upscale check value.

$$ZD = \frac{|R_{CEM} - R_L|}{R_{col}} \times 100$$
 [Eq. 2-3]

Where:

ZD = The zero (low level) drift of your PM CEMS, in percent,

R_{CEM} = Your PM CEMS response of the zero check value,

 R_L = The zero check value, and

 R_V = The upscale check value.

(4) How do I calculate SVA Accuracy? You must use Equation 2–4 to calculate accuracy, in percent, for each of the three SVA tests or the daily sample volume check:

Accuracy =
$$\frac{(V_R - V_M)}{V_R} \times 100$$
 [Eq. 2-4]

Where

 $V_R = Sample \ gas \ volume \ measured \ by the independent calibrated \ reference \ device \ (e.g., \ dscm) \ for the SVA \ or the \ reference \ value \ for the \ daily \ sample \ volume \ check.$

Note: You must calculate/correct the volume values above to the same basis of temperature, pressure and moisture contents. You must document all data and calculations.

(5) How do I calculate relative standard deviation (RSD)? You must use Equation 2–5 to calculate the RSD for two simultaneously gathered data points (population relative standard deviation).

RSD =
$$100 \times \frac{|(C_a - C_b)|}{(C_a + C_b)}$$
 [Eq. 2-5]

Where:

This Procedure?

 C_a and C_b = Concentration values, mg/dscm, determined from trains A and B, respectively.

13.0 Method Performance. [Reserved]

14.0 Pollution Prevention. [Reserved]

15.0 Waste Management. [Reserved]

16.0 Which References Are Relevant to This Method? [Reserved]

17.0 What Tables, Diagrams, Flowcharts, and Validation Data Are Relevant to This Method? [Reserved]

[FR Doc. 01–30367 Filed 12–11–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[IA 0144-1144; FRL-7117-6]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Control of Emissions From Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators; State of Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a revision to the state of Iowa's section 111(d) plan for controlling emissions from existing hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerators.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's submittal as a direct final rule

without prior proposal because the Agency views this as noncontroversial and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by January 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551–7603.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 2, 2001.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7. [FR Doc. 01–30739 Filed 12–11–01; 8:45 am]